Glen B. Deacon,* a Tiecheng Feng, Siegbert Nickel, Brian W. Skelton and Allan H. White

^a Chemistry Department, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia
^b Chemistry Department, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, 6009, Australia

Reaction of lanthanoid metals with hexachloroethane in tetrahydrofuran under ultrasonication conditions gives $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ (Ln = La, Nd, Sm, n = 2; Ln = Gd, Yb, n = 3; Ln = Er, n = 3.5, thf = tetrahydrofuran) in good yield; the X-ray crystal structure of [YbCl_3(thf)_2]_2, derived from YbCl_3(thf)_3 by prolonged treatment with pentane, reveals a chloride-bridged octahedral dimer with *trans* thf ligands.

Anhydrous lanthanoid trichlorides are fundamental reagents for the synthesis of trivalent lanthanoid organometallics,¹ organoamides,² alkoxides³ and aryloxides,³ and they are also widely used in organic synthesis.⁴ Routes to the anhydrous trichlorides including dehydration of the hydrates⁵ are complex for small-scale laboratory use. The most satisfactory, the reaction of lanthanoid oxides with ammonium chloride, does not give products of the highest purity.⁵ Purification by vacuum distillation or sublimation may be needed. For most purposes, $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ (thf = tetrahydrofuran) complexes are an acceptable alternative to the anhydrous chlorides, and there is a satisfactory small-scale preparation from lanthanoid metals and mercury(II) chloride in thf^{6,7} [see also an analogous route to Y(or Sc)Cl₃(thf)₃].⁸ However, the filtration step to remove mercury metal and excess of lanthanoid metal^{6,7} is inconvenient as $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ complexes have limited solubility in thf. We now report a simpler synthesis of $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ complexes and the crystal structure of [LnCl₃(thf)₂]₂. Established structures of $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ complexes are limited to monomeric $LnCl_3(thf)_4$ (Ln = Nd⁹ or Eu¹⁰) [see also ScCl₃(thf)₃¹¹].

1328

Treatment of lanthanoid metal powder with an excess of hexachloroethane in pure dry thf under nitrogen in an ultrasonic bath at room temperature until all metal disappeared from the developing suspension gave $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ (Ln = La, Nd, Sm, n = 2; Ln = Gd, Yb, n = 3; Ln = Er, n = 3.5) in 84–99% yield,[†] and tetrachloroethylene as the major organic product [eqn. (1)]. Reaction also occurred between

$$2Ln + 3C_2Cl_6 \xrightarrow{\text{thf}} 2LnCl_3(\text{thf})_n + 3C_2Cl_4 \qquad (1)$$

 C_2Cl_4 and lanthanoid metals, but at a much slower rate. The simple isolation procedure[†] is based on the low solubility of $LnCl_3(thf)_n$ and the high solubility of the organic products in the for the function of the lanthanoid metal avoids a difficult filtration step needed in other metal-based syntheses⁶⁻⁸ and maximises use of the most expensive reagent.

All products gave satisfactory Ln and Cl analyses, and showed intense IR absorptions at 1020–1011 and 870–862 cm⁻¹, attributable¹² to ring stretching modes of coordinated thf, and at 270–190 cm⁻¹, attributable to v(Ln–Cl).‡

[‡] For the X-ray characterized YbCl₃(thf)_n complexes, v(Yb-Cl): 269s and 238s cm⁻¹ [YbCl₃(thf)₃]; 274s, 218s and 192s cm⁻¹ [YbCl₃(thf)₂]. There is variation in the pattern of v(Ln-Cl) bands for the series of LnCl₃(thf)₂ complexes, hence a common structure is unlikely

Compositions corresponded to those previously observed from alternative syntheses, 6,7,13,14 except that LaCl₃(thf)₂ was obtained instead of the reported LaCl₃(thf)_{1.5}.¹³ However, it is known that the stoichiometries are highly sensitive to washing and drying conditions 6,7,13,14 Where the products are to be used *in situ* for further preparations, a yield of *ca*. 90% can be assumed, and the degree of thf complexation is irrelevant.

An X-ray study showed YbCl₃(thf)₃ to be isostructural§ with ScCl₃(thf)₃;¹¹ hence the complex is monomeric with *mer* octahedral stereochemistry for ytterbium. A similar arrangement has been reported for YCl₃(cpl)₃ (cpl = ε -caprolactone) in a recent communication.¹⁶ Prolonged treatment (2 months) of YbCl₃(thf)₃ powder with pentane gave some YbCl₃(thf)₂ as single crystals, which were separated by hand-picking. X-Ray crystallography revealed the compound to be a *trans* octahed-

Fig. 1 A single dimer (crystallographic 2/m symmetry) of [YbCl₃(thf)_{2]2}. 20% Thermal ellipsoids are shown for the non-hydrogen atoms. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) are: Yb-Cl(1) 2.683(2). Yb-Cl(2) 2.490(3), Yb-O(11) 2.285(7), Yb-Cl(2) 2.490(3), Yb-O(12) 2.490(3),

[†] In most cases, 1.00 g (5.78–5.93 mmol) of metal powder, 3.00 g (12.67 mmol) of C_2Cl_6 , and 40 ml of thf were used (0.50 g, 1.50 g, 40 ml for Ln = La). Reaction times were 5 h (La), 21 h (Nd), 30 h (Sm, Gd), 7 h (Er), 45 h (Yb). For Ln = La, the product was filtered off in a dry box, and washed with pentane. Otherwise, pentane (20 ml) was added to the reaction mixture, and the liquid was decanted from the precipitated product, which was then washed with pentane by decantation. All compounds were dried at room temperature in the dry box.

ral dimer (Fig. 1).§ The Yb–O distances agree with $\langle Yb-O \rangle$ (2.265 Å) and $\langle Y-O \rangle$ (2.272 Å) of mutually *trans* thf or cpl ligands of YbCl₃(thf)₃¹⁵ and YCl₃(cpl)₃¹⁶ respectively. (Ionic radii of six-coordinate Yb³⁺ and Y³⁺ differ by only 0.03 Å.¹⁷) As expected, the bridging Yb–Cl bond length is longer than the terminal Yb–Cl distance (Fig. 1). The latter is close to $\langle Yb-Cl \rangle$ (2.52₅ Å) of YbCl₃(thf)₃¹⁵ but 0.1 Å shorter than $\langle Y-Cl \rangle$ of YCl₃(cpl)₃.¹⁶ The structure of YbCl₃(thf)₂ is of particular interest as it is the first for the composition LnCl₃(thf)₂, which is now (including La, Yb above) known for 13 rare earth elements.^{6,7,13,14} This arrangement (Fig. 1) contrasts with monomeric six- and seven-coordinate ScCl₃(thf)₃¹¹ and LnCl₃(thf)₄ (Ln = Nd⁹ or Eu¹⁰), and appears (see ref. 16) to be a new structure for solvates of lanthanoid trichlorides.

We thank the Australian Research Council for support.

Received, 23rd April 1993; Com. 3/02348F

References

1 H. Schumann and W. Genthe, Organometallic Compounds of the Rare Earths, in Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare

§ Crystal data for: $C_{12}H_{24}Cl_3O_3Yb$, M = 495.70, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 9.057(2), b = 12.857(1), c = 15.713(7) Å, $\beta = 92.26(3)^{\circ}.15$

Crystal data for: [YbCl₂(μ -Cl)(thf)₂]₂ C₁₆H₃₂Cl₆O₄Yb₂, M =847.21, monoclinic, space group C2/m, a = 11.051(4), b = 11.950(5), c = 10.683(2) Å, $\beta = 108.87(2)^\circ$, V = 1335 Å³, D_c (Z = 2 dimers) 2.11 g cm⁻³. 1410 'observed' [$I > 3\sigma(I)$] independent absorption corrected diffractometer data were refined by full-matrix least-squares to R = 0.043, $R_w = 0.050$ (statistical weights). Monochromatic Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda 0.7107_3$ Å), anisotropic thermal parameter refinement for the non-hydrogen atoms. For this structure, atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

Earths, ed. K. A. Gschneidner and L. Eyring, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984, vol. 7, ch. 53.

- 2 F. A. Hart, Scandium, Yttrium, and the Lanthanides, in Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry, ed. G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard and J. A. McCleverty, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987, vol. 3, ch. 39.
- 3 R. M. Mehrotra, A. Singh and U. M. Tripathi, Chem. Rev., 1991, 91, 1287.
- 4 G. A. Molander, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 29.
- 5 Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry, Sc, Y, La-Lu, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1982, vol. 4a, Chlorides, pp. 51-73; G. Meyer, Binary Lanthanide(III) Halides, MX_3 (X = Cl, Br, I) in Syntheses of Lanthanide and Actinide Compounds, ed. G. Meyer and L. R. Morss, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- 6 G. B. Deacon and A. J. Koplick, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1979, 15, 263.
- 7 G. B. Deacon, T. D. Tuong and D. L. Wilkinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1990, 27, 136; 1990, 28, 286.
- 8 O. V. Kravchenko, S. E. Kravchenko, V. D. Makhaev, V. B. Polyakova, G. V. Slobodenchuk and K. N. Semenenko, *Koord Khim.*, 1982, 8, 1356.
- 9 W. Chen, Z. Jin, Y. Xing, Y. Fan and Q. Yang, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 1987, **130**, 125.
- 10 S.-H. Lin, Z.-C. Dong, J.-S. Huang, Q.-E. Zhang and J.-X. Lu, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1991, **47**, 426.
- 11 J. L. Atwood and K. D. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1974, 921.
- 12 J. Lewis, J. R. Miller, R. L. Richards and A. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 5850.
- 13 K. Rossmanith and C. Auer-Welsbach, Monatsh. Chem., 1965, 96, 602.
- 14 K. Rossmanith, Monatsh. Chem., 1969, 100, 1484.
- 15 A. N. Sobolev and A. H. White, unpublished results, in satisfactory agreement with data for a structure determination [C.-T. Qian, B. Wang, D.-L. Deng, C. Xu, X.-Y. Sun and R.-G. Ling, *Jiegou Huaxue (J. Struct. Chem.)*, 1993, 12, 18] reported since submission of the communication.
- 16 W. J. Evans, J. L. Schreeve and R. J. Doedens, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, 32, 245.
- 17 R. D. Shannon, Acta Crystallgro., Sect. A, 1976, 32, 751.